

MAINE SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT

Reporter of Decisions

Decision: 2016 ME 94
Docket: Yor-15-351
Argued: April 7, 2016
Decided: June 28, 2016
Corrected: November 3, 2016

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

ROBERT M. COTE

v.

DONALD R. COTE et al.

ERRATA SHEET

The opinion of this Court certified on June 28, 2016, is amended as follows:

The last sentence of paragraph 21 is amended to read as follows:

However, the court concluded that Robert failed to show that any particular actions by Donald, Angela, and ~~Priscilla~~Priscille were the “but for” cause that deprived him of an expectancy; the court noted that the affidavits in support of the statements of material fact were insufficient because they relied largely upon ~~on~~ inadmissible hearsay and were ambiguous as to whether the assertions were actually based on personal knowledge.

The original opinion on the Judicial Branch website has been replaced with the opinion as corrected.